CLEARWATER, South Carolina Community Brief

Urban Land Institute Technical Assistance Panel

March 7, 2008



Table of Contents

The Task At Hand	3
Community & Site History	4
Site Clean-Up	5
Current Site Description	6
Demographics of Clearwater	7
Economics of Clearwater	8
Commercial Development Prospectus	9
Recommendations Made by the SC Mayors Institute	10
Appendix	
Clearwater Environmental Action Plan	14
Redevelopment and Construction Phases	15
Clearwater Covenant	16
Complete Census Data	

Please note that much of the history and data summary for this brief were taken directly from the *Celebrating South Carolina Community Design Activity Report & Vision for Clearwater* which was a report created following Clearwater's participation in the <u>Blue Print for America</u> program created American Institute of Architects: Greater Columbia Chapter and facilitated in conjunction with the South Carolina Design Arts Partnership. Their report was presented on October 1st, 2007.

The Task at Hand

The Panel's Charge: Assist the Community of Clearwater with financial and development strategies for the 84 acre abandoned mill site.

Core Questions:

- 1. How to encourage property owners to invest in property improvements or sell to higher users?
- 2. How to attract new investors given the market conditions and/or market potential?
- 3. How to get developers to buy-in to the community vision?
- 4. How to utilize the available legal, political, financial and public relations tools to change the status quo?
- 5. What are the constraints and how to overcome them?

Goals of ULI

The goal of the ULI's Advisory Services Program is to bring the finest expertise in the real estate field to bear on complex land use planning and development projects, programs, and policies. Since 1947, this program has been assisting communities by bringing nationally recognized real estate, planning, and development experts together to provide unbiased pragmatic advice for addressing complex land use and development issues.

The Technical Assistance Panel (TAPs) Program

Teams of local experts have been brought together to collaborate on a complex land use and redevelopment project in a small community lacking the necessary resources to resolve their issues at hand. Bringing expertise in the real estate, planning and development fields, each TAP team will develop a set of solutions that promote quality growth in the community. All panel assignments promote ULI's mission of providing responsible leadership in the use of the land to enhance the total environment. * No member of the panel has a conflict of interest, real or perceived, by serving on the panel.

Panel Members:

Ray Anderson
Andy Gowder
Sam Hayes
Tammie Hoy
Josh Martin
Bernie Mazyck
Thom Penney
Ken Seeger
Erich Chatham (Intern)

Community & Site History

Clearwater is located in the lower portion of Horse Creek Valley, an area of Aiken County best known for its industrial heritage. The community was once home to two major textile mills: Clearwater Finishing Plant and Seminole Mill, which were owned most recently by United Merchants, a New York-based textile company. Clearwater's history is closely tied to other nearby mill villages, including Langley and Bath. The Langley-Bath-Clearwater (LBC) group was established shortly after the Civil War. The LBC area expanded upon a strong textile tradition in the Horse Valley Creek area, which also included the Vaucluse, Warrenville, and Graniteville mills.

The popularity of Horse Creek Valley as an early center for manufacturing was in large part due to the region being strategically located along the original line of the South Carolina Railroad. United Merchants later purchased the mills and modernized and improved operations, and by the 20th century, Clearwater's finished goods ranked among the best products in the world.

The Seminole and Clearwater mills closed in 1982, leaving behind a multitude of problems for Aiken County and local residents to contend with. In 1196, a tremendous fire sent Seminole Mill into Flames. More than 200 firefighters were required to put out the blaze. Shortly thereafter, major environmental problems were discovered at both sites. Clearwater's environmental issues were the result of bleaching operations that were once a major activity at the site. The Seminole Mill site had approximately 25 aboveground tanks that were leaking. Problem causing chemicals found at the sites included dyes, resins, solvents, caustics, some acid and fuel oil. In recent years, the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) and the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have worked closely with Aiken County to spearhead a clean-up program for both sites. The clean-up efforts and its progress will be discussed later in this brief.

In the 1980's, the Clearwater Finishing Plant was the subject of a historic resource survey that determined it eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, though the property was never listed. While the remaining 14 buildings that make up the Clearwater complex are mostly deteriorated, some parts are believed to be salvageable. Seminole Mill, on the other hand, is mostly in ruins. The surrounding neighborhood is characteristic of South Carolina mill villages with opportunities for growth and revitalization. The defining feature of the community is Clearwater Pond, a beautiful natural area with several acres of surrounding green space.

Site Clean-Up Summary

The site was the subject of an EPA removal action after the mill closed, and EPA had a lien on the property when the prospective purchaser wanted to buy the property, so not only did The Clearwater Development Corporation have to enter into a brownfields contract (Voluntary Cleanup Contract {VCC} 98-5210-NRP) with the SCDHEC but also entered into a Prospective Purchaser Agreement with EPA.

The work required by the contract began enthusiastically and promptly, but The Clearwater Development Corporation was not well managed and had significant financial problems.

Cleanup work slowed significantly. To fulfill the VCC, the Sparkling Clearwater, LLC and Three H3O, LLC have the remaining obligations as follows:

- 1) Removal, proper disposal, and confirmation sampling is being performed in the polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) area beneath the old transformers;
- 2) The wastewater treatment lagoons must be closed in accordance with Department regulations to include but not necessarily be limited to the proper disposal of the water and sludge in the lagoons;
- 3) There is substantial groundwater contamination at this site. Additional groundwater monitoring work must be performed to include but not necessarily be limited to the installation of additional wells and the repair of one well as well as additional groundwater monitoring. Removal of the source of the groundwater contamination other than the old impoundment/discharge area may be necessary.

In addition, an old discharge area was located after the VCC was effective. Aiken County received an assessment grant from EPA to perform assessment of this area of the Clearwater site.

Because this brownfields contract was done in 1998, the early part of our program, it is probably not as comprehensive as it would be if negotiated today.

Current Site Description

Clearwater and Seminole mills stand side by side with an adjacent railroad line running along the Little Horse Creek. Together the properties constitute an 84 acre site for potential development. The area is strategically located on US Hwy 1 between Aiken and North Augusta. Both Aiken and North Augusta are communities that have experienced rapid growth in recent years. The sites are well positioned to take advantage of nearby economic prosperity, and suburban sprawl has already found its way to Clearwater. In recent years, several fast food restaurants and chain drugstores have located in the area.



Demographics of Clearwater Community

(For full Demographic information on Aiken Co. and Clearwater please see appendix.)

Census data taken from US Census Bureau 2000 statistics.

Clearwater is designated by the US Census Bureau as Census Designated Place, which is a "statistical entity composed of a dense concentration of population that is not within an incorporated place but is locally identified by a name."

Clearwater's age distribution very closely mirrors that of Aiken County and South Carolina with about:

35% under 25 34% of the population between 25 and 49 31% over 50

The 2000 population of the Clearwater CDP was 4,199 This population had declined by 1.04 percent since 1990.

By 2006, it is estimated that the population will continue to decline to 4,082 or -2.79 percent. By 2011, the population is expected to continue to decline slightly to 4,027 (-1.35 percent).

The 2006 median household income was \$33,781 compared with \$42,945 for Aiken County and \$38,349 for the state.

Economic Status of Clearwater Community

The Market Study for Clearwater, conducted during the Blueprint for America program, demonstrated that Clearwater could not support redevelopment of the mill sites on its own. The community will have to rely on a broader region to make the project feasible. The good news is that Aiken County grew by 18 percent in the last decade, a trend that has continued in recent years. Moreover, the Aiken-Augusta metropolitan area's economy is booming and the number of new households is growing significantly. In 2005, Aiken County conducted a county-wide visioning process and one of the most important goals identified was to preserve the county's rural heritage while guiding new-land uses to existing areas. This vision statement holds great promise for the Clearwater community if it can position the redevelopment project in a countywide or regional perspective.

Economic Options & Considerations (from Mayors' Institute)

It will be necessary to develop a creative financing strategy to carry out development of the site. Tax increment financing is one possibility to explore, and it will likely be critical to the success of the site. Another idea is selling some of the materials from the decaying buildings at market. A major economy has developed for harvesting bricks and heart pine timbers. Much of this material is going to re-build places in Mississippi that were destroyed during Hurricane Katrina. This would be just one potential way to raise some money for the site. Don't get trapped into just looking at the mill site or structures. This place has enormous potential for being a mixed-use retail/residential destination.

Many people have interest in second homes in this area because of the Augusta golf tournaments and Aiken horse culture. Literally, hundreds of millions of dollars worth of development could occur here if the cards are played right. Consider tax credits and other financial incentives for people to invest here over time.

Commercial Development Prospectus

In 2005, a major transportation project, the Palmetto Parkway, was announced. This highway will provide seamless road transportation between Aiken and North Augusts and will be a huge boom for the region's economy. The road will directly serve the Clearwater community, making opportunities for redevelopment more significant and more feasible. The parkway has been sited as a top priority by the South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank. Many in the county have eyed the Clearwater/Seminole sites for redevelopment for several years, though plans have never fully developed. Suggested re-uses have included a commercial strip mall and a new water treatment facility, though residents were not keen on the latter idea. Residents are however in favor of a commercial use.

Additionally, the State of South Carolina has recognized that redeveloping abandoned mill sites is a critical social, economic, and environmental need. In 2004, the SC General Assembly passed the Textile Communities Revitalization Act, providing tax incentives for redevelopment. The law states that abandoned mills are a hazard to community well-being. With many available tax incentives and grant opportunities, there is a tremendous chance for success.

Retail Leakage Study Summary

- Retail store sales in Clearwater equal \$46.8 million.
- Clearwater consumers spent \$56.9 million.
- The zip code is NET LEAKING \$10 million annually overall.
- These leakage numbers are relatively small, particularly when looking at the individual categories.

With the population decline and Clearwater's proximity to Aiken and the Augusta/North Augusta Metro, it is unlikely that Clearwater will see significant retail development in the near future. The sales gap (or retail leakage) identified in the study is relatively small and concentrates mostly on apparel. There may be a limited opportunity for niche type retail in Clearwater, but it would have to take place in a location unique to the region. It does appear that the community could support a "full service" restaurant. This could range from country-casual "sit down" to a more upscale offering, though it seems that the demographics would lean toward a casual full-service non-chain type of restaurant.

Recommended Development Approaches (from Mayors' Institute)

Take Advantage of the Textile Heritage

Clearwater/Seminole are important to the overall story of Horse Creek Valley's textile and industrial heritage. Many avenues could be explored to capitalize on this as part of the project. Many textile towns throughout the state are equally threatened; they can work together to celebrate their heritage. Clearwater could be connected to other textile sites in the state to create a textile tourism trail. A textile museum with interactive archaeological digs is another possibility. While Graniteville may be more important, since it is the oldest mill in the state, Clearwater certainly has historic merit. Perhaps the South Carolina National Heritage Corridor could include Clearwater in its marketing efforts. More research into the history of Clearwater will need to be done. It will be important to re-evaluate Clearwater/Seminole for National Register listing and to complete the nomination process if it is found eligible. Consider the mill village as well. There are some wonderful square and shotgun houses; the architecture and stories are very important. You can look to some of the textile-related preservation activities in North Carolina for examples (e.g., Glencoe Mill Village). The village represents a living history and needs to be part of the future. The land and water are also important and should be included in the context of the site; research and interpret these as well. Finally, the project team may want to think about keeping the Seminole ruins as ruins to create a unique place that preserves the authentic history.

Host a History Harvest

It will be important to capture the oral histories of senior citizens living in the village before they pass away. One method for doing this is to have a history harvest to document people's stories. Some redevelopment organizations have conceived themselves as institutions and invited former employees to come home and tell their stories as alumni of the place. Another idea is to have a survey with simple questions that can be distributed to people at church events. This could be tagged on to the charrette process. An important question to ask might be, "What does the mill mean to you?" If you listen long enough to what these people have to say, you will capture the true spirit of the place that can speak to the vision the community would like to have for the future. It will be important to make sure that the participants have a sense of ownership in the project. Another idea is to have the community participate in mapping the history of the area. Never assume that they know and remember the story; reviving knowledge of the history is part of the process.

Understand the Market and Think Regionally

Whatever occurs at the Clearwater/Seminole site needs to be driven by market development and real opportunities. Duane Anderson is a contact who has done very well with these types of projects. John Knott is another resource: He is on the board for a development project at a mill in North Augusta. A tremendous amount of money in this part of the state can potentially be captured if we really comprehend how the market works. It will be important to understand both the Aiken and North Augusta markets. Clearwater seems to be more associated with North Augusta than with Aiken. Look at the data for all the surrounding areas and figure out what is missing. Then think regionally and fill the niche. Be careful not to assume what's missing, do your homework first. Understanding the market can provide insight into how to utilize the site to

return employment to the area. Keep in mind that any low-income development for seniors needs to be market-driven also; it cannot survive on subsidies alone.

Explore, Map, and Define Community Assets

One of the chief goals of the Clearwater/Seminole charrette should be mapping the community assets to find out its needs and to target opportunities. Identify the broad assets that exist here and define Clearwater's boundaries and identity. The boundary will likely include 200 to 300 acres 15 around the core center 80+ acre site, with concentric rings going out from the lake. Consider mapping the surrounding areas also, as there seems to be potential for expanding this project well beyond the 80+ acre site. Creating a regional map can help identify what Clearwater's niche could be. Connect the oral history research to the mapping process. The Clearwater community itself needs to be understood in incredible detail, and Clearwater residents can get involved personally in doing the research. All asset classes should be included.

What should be explored, mapped, and defined?

- 1. Property ownership (especially around the pond and US 1)
- 2. Establish a clear understanding of the site topography
- 3. Understand the local architecture, the quality, form, and style of the mill houses
- 4. Understand the cultural watershed/ river shed of the community, where it connects to, and how
- 5. Historical assets-cultural resources
- 6. Ecological assets
- 7. Economic assets-employment
- 8. Circulation-roads, railroads, abandoned railroad beds
- 9. Existing and potential recreation opportunities.
- 10. Regional culture, economy, and opportunities

Hire a brown-fields developer

In terms of economic development, the Clearwater/Seminole site will most likely need a brown-fields developer; this is very complicated stuff, and you will desperately need someone who knows this business well to make it work. (Brownfield Capital is one such firm). The developer should understand the historic nature of the site but also be able to work with the brown-fields financing mechanisms, etc. It will be important early on to find out what the EPA classification of the site is. If possible, try to apply for SuperFund status. Identify the bank that financed the Seminole and Clearwater operations. Bankruptcy does not protect the lender or the owner from responsibility for the cleanup; they should be in the chain of title.

Retain control of the site

Economically, Aiken County/Clearwater community should consider a long-term lease structure so that they can control the future of the site. Consider establishing a non-profit foundation for management and oversight. When looking for developers, remember that it is always better to do an RFQ (Request for Qualifications) as opposed to an RFP (Request for Proposals). You will want to seek highly qualified people to carry out this project. The RFQ is a far superior means to the end, as it can help you find the right partner (embarking on a major development is a lot like getting married—you are making a major investment in each other and therefore the dating process is critical).

Establish a visioning committee

Chesterfield has already formed a visioning committee and now may be the time to establish that for Clearwater. This group will need to be in place before the charrette in January. One of its first activities could be to conduct a survey for the community vision before the charette. Surveys could be distributed inside church bulletins.

Consider Opportunities for Destination Retail (i.e., Art and Antiques)

Depending on what the market analysis shows, Clearwater may have the potential to be a major retail destination/center/hub for arts and/or antiques. There is not a major arts and crafts center near Aiken or North Augusta, although there is a small arts center in downtown Aiken. (The new municipal building in North Augusta will also have some space dedicated for the arts.) The key to making this work is to let it happen as organically as possible. You could group together a variety of antique shops and possibly think about creating a center of commerce related to textiles. One example of a community that has done this is Farmville, Virginia. It is now the place to go to buy 16 rugs. There are certainly similar allied commercial opportunities that could situate themselves well in the Clearwater site.

Enhance the recreational use of the pond

The pond is a central, unified feature of the community that has great potential for recreation. No matter what is planned for the Clearwater/Seminole site, the pond becomes a critical element. We need to consider options for enhancing the recreational use of the pond. One option would be to identify the good earth and the bad earth all around the site. Then we could look at the possibility of expanding it into the bad-earth areas to create a lake. Also, consider how the mill site and village can be physically and visually connected to it. Langley Pond, just four miles upstream, is home to an international rowing regatta. Clearwater could become a major recreation destination, too.

Interpret and Heal the Ecology of the Place

It is interesting to note that Clearwater Finishing Plant was named for the clear water in the pond on the site, and the finishing plant was the very thing that destroyed the pristine quality of the pond. In a study of place names, John Knott discovered that 80 percent of developments were named for the things they destroyed. One goal of this project could be to return the site to the way it was before any development occurred. The process could become a story about healthy development, and Clearwater could become a place where people could go to be surrounded by a healthy environment and a historic site. The density of the area could be increased and growth could be aggregated and centralized to protect the land and the natural resources. This would provide a psychological victory over the contamination and loss of the textile mills. In doing this, you could begin to share and interpret the point-counterpoint of this place. Tell the story of how the mill took the pond and destroyed it. The mission of the place could become returning the pond to its original ecological balance, and Clearwater could become a major center for ecological interpretation and discovery. The story could also be about sustainability. Buildings and development on the site could be not only historic but also sustainable. The place can be healed through healthy development. Clearwater can become a beautiful ecological place connected to a holistic healing potential with clean air and water. It could be a counterpoint to the sprawl that is occurring in Aiken and North Augusta. Clearwater could become a small regional place between two urban centers where people come to learn about who they are. One

model for such a place could be found in Detroit's eco-village. Another example to look at would be Lake Connestee. The lake was a dumping ground for chromium because of a dam built in the 1820s, but it was also a really beautiful and special place. A private foundation was developed to help clean up the site, and it was able to use oil spill money for some of the mitigation. Another thing to consider for the Clearwater site might be to have it be a demonstration center to teach people about water quality. Infrastructure is suddenly cool, and everyone wants to see how it works, particularly with respect to water quality. Think about Clearwater as an industrial site that's cleaning the water; you could make a larger water quality station for this little village. Also, you could explain and interpret how the water course moves from Clearwater to Seminole and what happened with drainage on the sites. There is so much opportunity to allow Clearwater to become part of a larger ecological restoration movement. The entire healing of the place can become the story of restoring the community to its namesake.

Use Institutional Partners

Many institutional partners can be engaged in this process. One would be Jeff Beecham with USC Aiken. Also, USC's anthropological and archaeological center could play an important role. They could perhaps help with gathering the history and geology information prior to the charrette. Other universities and university programs can be engaged as well. The Medical College in Augusta, Georgia, might have a role to play.

Prepare for the Long Haul: Conduct the Effort in Phases

The Clearwater/Seminole project will not happen quickly. It will be at least a 15-year project that must take place in multiple phases. There is a lot of potential here for building a new economic center for the region, but this development is also going to take a lot of money and a lot of hard work. It will be important up front to go ahead and commit the resources necessary to conduct the master planning process through some type of public/private partnership. Some of the recommended phases include the following:

Phase 1: Mapping resources

Phase 2: Beginning history harvest and storytelling

Phase 3: Charrette...Creating a Master Plan

Phase 4: Development (also in 4 phases...see appendix for further details)

Appendix

Clearwater Environmental Action Plan

Summary

- Contaminates are present, but in limited areas
- Assessment and remediation process is slow, but can be managed in phases
- Cleanup efforts can be incorporated into design and construction phases
- Waterfront property does not appear to be contaminated and can be used for any redevelopment
- The materials on site are valuable and can create development revenue during the remediation and demolition process

Phase 1

- May 1, 2007- Notification of EPA Grant status
- June 30, 2007- County acquisition of Seminole Mill site
- Oct 1, 2007- Remediation of Seminole Mill
- Environmental Assessment of Clearwater Mill
- Investigate potential funding resources
- County pursues acquisition of remainder of Clearwater Park

Phase II

- Complete Remediation of Clearwater Park along the waterfront
- Removal of debris and capping of dump site
- Excavation of impound area
- Verify that self-remediation is viable
- Install barrier to prevent further Chromium contamination
- Implement local option Brownfield Redevelopment Fund to begin park planning and construction

Phase III

• Divide Clearwater Site and perform Environmental Remediation, as necessary, of commercial property (These areas have limited environmental risk and are ideal for development.)

Phase IV

- Perform Environmental Remediation and Demolition of remaining Clearwater site
- Funding Mechanisms & Opportunities
 - o Aiken County Allocations
 - o \$2 million in local option Brownfield Redevelopment Fund
 - o EPA Grants (in process)
 - o \$200,000 Assessment Grant for Clearwater
 - o \$200,000 Remediation Grant for Seminole
 - o Material Salvage
 - o Resale of brick, steel, and wood
 - o Brownfield Redevelopment Funds
 - o EPA Brownfield Tax Incentive
 - State Brownfield Employment Tax Credit
 - Textile Mill Revitalization Act
 - o BEDI (Brownfield Economic Development Initiative)
 - o South Carolina Revolving Loan Fund for Remediation
 - Additional Resources
 - TIF District (Tax Increment Financing District)

- Community Development Block Grants
- General Obligation Bonds
- Recreation Grants
- Rails to Trails Grants

Clearwater Redevelopment Plan

The Clearwater Redevelopment Plan incorporates approximately 86 acres and over 1 million square feet of commercial and residential space. The plan offers suggestions for managing the growth of the site in coordination with the Clearwater Environmental Action Plan and a phased demolition plan. Clearwater Pond was identified as the most important community asset to preserve and restore. The plan shows Clearwater Pond as the focal point of redevelopment.

Demolition

Upon close examination of the structures on the site, it became apparent that the majority of buildings do not retain enough historical integrity to be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places due to their highly deteriorated condition. While key landmarks such as water towers and smokestacks will be preserved to suggest the historic use of the site, most of the buildings will not accommodate recommended re-uses and need to be demolished. Demolition will occur in two phases. Seminole mill will be demolished first, following Phase I of the Environmental Action Plan. Some of the materials, such as brick and heavy timbers, may be salvaged for re-use.

Clearwater Redevelopment Plan Phasing

Redevelopment and construction will need to occur in four phases, each beginning immediately after the coordinating phase of the Clearwater Environmental Action Plan.

Phase I

The first phase of the Clearwater Redevelopment Plan recommends re-using a portion of the Seminole site for new medical offices with adjacent assisted-living facilities. The remainder of Seminole will be subdivided and sold as lots for single family homes and will become an extension of the existing mill village. Proceeds from the sale of individual lots can provide funding for the rest of the redevelopment.

Phase II

During the second phase of the Clearwater Redevelopment Plan, the former mill office will be reconstructed for use as a local history museum and community center. The new mill office will also serve as a gateway landmark leading into the site. Church Street will be extended as a double lane road leading into the property as a boulevard. The boulevard will connect Belvedere Hwy with the new Clearwater Park. Clearwater Park will provide waterfront recreation with the development of a new Clearwater Beach (similar in concept to the historic pond access that once existed on the other side of Hwy 1) and a new Waterfront Pavilion. Clearwater Park will connect to Harrison Caver Park through a greenway that will be developed as environmental work is completed. Much of the land designated for Clearwater Park lies in an existing floodplain and lends itself to becoming valuable community green space.

Phase III

The third phase of the Clearwater Redevelopment Plan will focus on the heart of the Clearwater site. Several historic mill buildings will be kept intact and rehabilitated to create new mixed-use retail and residential facilities. The original mill building facade will be retained and slightly altered to

accommodate the new use and create a unique open-air atmosphere. A wellness center and outdoor pool will also be constructed near the Hwy 1/Belvedere Hwy intersection. The retail/residential core will connect with Clearwater Park through a mall or outdoor plaza with open public space for outdoor gatherings and seating.

Phase IV

The fourth phase of the Clearwater Redevelopment Plan will add additional square footage and amenities as the market grows. A new small building will be erected next to the mill office museum and the Belvedere Highway streetscape will be extended to connect the site back to Hwy 1. New town homes and additional residential infill will be constructed to support the retail operations on the site. The new homes will connect back to the pond through additional greenways.

The Clearwater Covenant

As a community founded by the mills that supported a good life for its citizens, the Clearwater Community holds that following to be true:

- That we bear responsibility for the prosperous future of our community and each other
- That we are stewards of nature's blessings entrusted to us at this place
- That the natural, physical, and cultural histories of Clearwater are worthy of our protection as trustees in order for us to embrace the future.

Acknowledging these truths, we commit to this community:

- To restore the Clearwater and Seminole sites as healthy habitats that can support economic, cultural and environmental sustainability
- To secure this community as a lifelong teachers of community stewardship
- To celebrate the textile heritage of Clearwater
- To balance economic prosperity with cultural opportunities
- To intervene on the land in creative ways that will ensure a sustainable future.

Furthermore, through this covenant we, as citizens, commit to this community

- To preserve and to share the rich history of the Clearwater community
- To be a model of civic engagement and collaborative leadership
- To engage the creative human spirit and intellect of each citizen
- To have hope and to be bold in our dreams and our actions
- To elevate the spirit of *civitas* to the highest order
- To ensure a legacy of pride and the highest ethic of hard work for individual gain and for the common good
- To leave this community better that we received it for those generations to come.

Committed to and signed this day, January 23, 2007.

Table DP-1. Profile of General Demographic Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Clearwater CDP, South Carolina

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
Total population	4,199	100.0	HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
			Total population	4,199	100.0
SEX AND AGE			Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	157	3.7
Male	2,020	48.1	Mexican	108	2.6
Female	2,179	51.9	Puerto Rican	13	0.3
Hadas Essasa	204	7.0	Cuban	_	_
Under 5 years	301	7.2	Other Hispanic or Latino	36	0.9
5 to 9 years	293	7.0	Not Hispanic or Latino	4,042	96.3
10 to 14 years	293	7.0	White alone	3,284	78.2
15 to 19 years	277	6.6	vviille dione	0,204	70.2
20 to 24 years	249	5.9	RELATIONSHIP		
25 to 34 years	589	14.0	Total population	4,199	100.0
35 to 44 years	663	15.8	In households	4,193	99.9
45 to 54 years	534	12.7	Householder	1,717	40.9
55 to 59 years	240	5.7	Spouse	837	19.9
60 to 64 years	201	4.8	Child.	1,212	28.9
65 to 74 years	349	8.3	Own child under 18 years	902	21.5
75 to 84 years	166	4.0	Other relatives	230	5.5
85 years and over	44	1.0		105	2.5
•			Under 18 years		1
Median age (years)	36.1	(X)	Nonrelatives	197	4.7
10 years and ayer	2.456	75.0	Unmarried partner	90	2.1
18 years and over	3,156	75.2	in group quartororitinininininininininininininininininini	6	0.1
Male	1,505	35.8	Institutionalized population	6	0.1
Female	1,651	39.3	Noninstitutionalized population	-	-
21 years and over	2,986	71.1			
62 years and over	679	16.2	HOUSEHOLD BY TYPE		
65 years and over	559	13.3	Total households	1,717	100.0
Male	214	5.1	Family households (families)	1,174	68.4
Female	345	8.2	With own children under 18 years	514	29.9
			Married-couple family	837	48.7
RACE			With own children under 18 years	321	18.7
One race	4,141	98.6	Female householder, no husband present	267	15.6
White	3,356	79.9	With own children under 18 years	149	8.7
Black or African American	675	16.1	Nonfamily households	543	31.6
American Indian and Alaska Native	25	0.6	Householder living alone	459	26.7
Asian	14	0.3			9.6
Asian Indian	8	0.2	Householder 65 years and over	164	9.0
Chinese	2	0.2	Households with individuals under 18 years	585	34.1
	2	_	Households with individuals 65 years and over	424	24.7
Filipino	- 1	_	Trodocticido with marviadalo co yodio and over		
Japanese	1	-	Average household size	2.44	(X)
Korean	3	0.1	Average family size	2.94	(X)
Vietnamese	-	-			' '
Other Asian 1	-	-	HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1	-	Total housing units	1,938	100.0
Native Hawaiian	1	-	Occupied housing units	1,717	88.6
Guamanian or Chamorro	-	-	Vacant housing units	221	11.4
Samoan	-	-	For seasonal, recreational, or	221	''
Other Pacific Islander ²	-	-	occasional use	13	0.7
Some other race	70	1.7	occasional use	13	0.7
Two or more races	58	1.4	Homeowner vacancy rate (percent)	2.0	(X)
	30		Rental vacancy rate (percent)	16.5	(X)
Race alone or in combination with one			Tromai radalloy late (persolli)	10.5	(//)
or more other races: 3			HOUSING TENURE		
White	3,405	81.1	Occupied housing units	4 747	100.0
Black or African American	694	16.5		1,717	100.0
American Indian and Alaska Native	56	1.3	Owner-occupied housing units	1,125	65.5
Asian	25	0.6	Renter-occupied housing units	592	34.5
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	3	0.0	Average household size of owner-occupied units.	2.47	/٧١
Some other race	83				(X)
JUINE UNITED TAGE	03	2.0	Average household size of renter-occupied units.	2.38	(X)

⁻ Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000.

² Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

³ In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

Table DP-2. Profile of Selected Social Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Clearwater CDP, South Carolina

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT			NATIVITY AND PLACE OF BIRTH		
Population 3 years and over			Total population	4,246	100.0
enrolled in school	1,009	100.0	Native	4,129	97.2
Nursery school, preschool	36	3.6	Born in United States	4,047	95.3
Kindergarten	50	5.0	State of residence	1,668	39.3
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	587	58.2	Different state	2,379	56.0
High school (grades 9-12)	206	20.4	Born outside United States	82	1.9
College or graduate school	130	12.9	Foreign born	117	2.8
0 0			Entered 1990 to March 2000	82	1.9
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT			Naturalized citizen	19	0.4
Population 25 years and over	2,767	100.0	Not a citizen	98	2.3
Less than 9th grade	237	8.6			
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	649	23.5	REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN		
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	973	35.2	Total (excluding born at sea)	117	100.0
Some college, no degree	639	23.1	Europe	24	20.5
Associate degree	96	3.5	Asia	-	-
Bachelor's degree	118	4.3	Africa	-	-
Graduate or professional degree	55	2.0	Oceania	-	-
			Latin America	87	74.4
Percent high school graduate or higher	68.0	(X)	Northern America	6	5.1
Percent bachelor's degree or higher	6.3	(X)	LANGUAGE CROKEN AT HOME		
			LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	2 000	400.0
MARITAL STATUS			Population 5 years and over	3,960	100.0
Population 15 years and over	3,297	100.0	English only	3,784	95.6
Never married	700	21.2	Language other than English	176	4.4
Now married, except separated	1,848	56.1	Speak English less than "very well"	138	3.5
Separated	145	4.4	Spanish	160	4.0
Widowed	176	5.3	Speak English less than "very well"	131	3.3
Female	142	4.3	Consol. English lass then "	13	0.3
Divorced	428	13.0	Speak English less than "very well"	7	0.2
Female	271	8.2	Asian and Pacific Island languages	3	0.1
			Speak English less than "very well"	-	-
GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS			ANCESTRY (single or multiple)		
Grandparent living in household with			Total population	4,246	100.0
one or more own grandchildren under			Total ancestries reported	3,286	77.4
18 years	85	100.0	Arab	-	-
Grandparent responsible for grandchildren	59	69.4	Czech ¹	_	_
VETER AN OTATIO			Danish	4	0.1
VETERAN STATUS	0.405	400.0	Dutch	42	1.0
Civilian population 18 years and over	3,135	100.0	English	398	9.4
Civilian veterans	411	13.1	French (except Basque) ¹	33	0.8
DIGABILITY STATUS OF THE SIMILAN			French Canadian ¹	-	-
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN			German	263	6.2
NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION		400.0	Greek	16	0.4
Population 5 to 20 years	970	100.0	Hungarian	-	-
With a disability	54	5.6	Irish ¹	284	6.7
Population 21 to 64 years	2,422	100.0	Italian	84	2.0
With a disability	556	23.0	Lithuanian	-	
Percent employed	54.3	(X)	Norwegian	_	_
No disability	1,866	77.0	Polish	40	0.9
Percent employed	74.8	(X)	Portuguese	4	0.1
Population 65 years and over	542	100.0	Russian	30	0.7
With a disability	245		Scotch-Irish.	106	2.5
a diodoliny	2-10	70.2	Scottish	41	1.0
RESIDENCE IN 1995			Slovak	-	
Population 5 years and over	3,960	100.0		25	0.6
Same house in 1995	2,419	61.1	Swedish	13	0.3
Different house in the U.S. in 1995	1,462	36.9		-	-
Same county	935	23.6	Ukrainian	_	_
Different county	527	13.3		805	19.0
Same state	91	2.3		-	-
Different state	436	11.0	West Indian (excluding Hispanic groups)	_	_
Elsewhere in 1995.	79	2.0		1,098	25.9
	, ,	2.0	5 and 6 and	1,000	20.0

⁻Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

¹The data represent a combination of two ancestries shown separately in Summary File 3. Czech includes Czechoslovakian. French includes Alsatian. French Canadian includes Acadian/Cajun. Irish includes Celtic.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census 2000.

Table DP-3. Profile of Selected Economic Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Clearwater CDP, South Carolina

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS			INCOME IN 1999		
Population 16 years and over	3,232	100.0	Households	1,703	100.0
In labor force	2,018	62.4	Less than \$10,000	298	17.5
Civilian labor force	1,997		\$10,000 to \$14,999	62	3.6
Employed	1,891		\$15,000 to \$24,999	339	19.9
Unemployed	106		\$25,000 to \$34,999	240	14.1
Percent of civilian labor force	5.3		\$35,000 to \$49,999	290	17.0
Armed Forces	21		\$50,000 to \$74,999	285	16.7
Not in labor force	1,214	37.6	\$75,000 to \$99,999	133	7.8
Females 16 years and over	1,702	100.0	\$100,000 to \$149,999	56	3.3
In labor force	888	52.2	\$150,000 to \$199,999	-	-
Civilian labor force	888	52.2	\$200,000 or more	-	-
Employed	846	49.7	Median household income (dollars)	30,693	(X)
Own children under 6 years	307	100.0	With earnings	1,323	77.7
All parents in family in labor force	232	75.6	Mean earnings (dollars) ¹	37,752	(X)
All parents in family in labor force	232	75.0	With Social Security income	492	28.9
COMMUTING TO WORK			Mean Social Security income (dollars) ¹	12,053	(X)
Workers 16 years and over	1,888	100.0		63	3.7
Car, truck, or van drove alone	1,580	83.7	Mean Supplemental Security Income		
Car, truck, or van carpooled	224	11.9	(dollars) ¹	6,806	(X)
Public transportation (including taxicab)	4	0.2	With public assistance income	66	3.9
Walked	22	1.2	Mean public assistance income (dollars) ¹	1,387	(X)
Other means	19	1.0	With retirement income	324	19.0
Worked at home	39	2.1	Mean retirement income (dollars) ¹	12,246	(X)
Mean travel time to work (minutes) ¹	23.0	(X)			` ′
			Families	1,198	100.0
Employed civilian population			Less than \$10,000	111	9.3
16 years and over	1,891	100.0	\$10,000 to \$14,999	54	4.5
OCCUPATION			\$15,000 to \$24,999	217	18.1
Management, professional, and related	000	45.0	\$25,000 to \$34,999	173	14.4
occupations	283	15.0	\$35,000 to \$49,999	234	19.5
Service occupations	355		\$50,000 to \$74,999	220	18.4
Sales and office occupations	508		\$75,000 to \$99,999	133	11.1
Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	6	0.3	\$100,000 to \$149,999	56	4.7
Construction, extraction, and maintenance	272	111	\$150,000 to \$199,999	-	-
occupations Production, transportation, and material moving	273	14.4	\$200,000 or more	26 520	- /V\
occupations	466	24.6	Median family income (dollars)	36,528	(X)
occupations	400	24.0	Per capita income (dollars) ¹	14,902	(X)
INDUSTRY			Median earnings (dollars):	,	(/
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting,			Male full-time, year-round workers	32,135	(X)
and mining	27	1.4	Female full-time, year-round workers	21,020	(x)
Construction	193	10.2		,	(/
Manufacturing	461	24.4		Number	Percent
Wholesale trade	49	2.6		below	below
Retail trade	302	16.0		poverty	
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	132	7.0	Subject	level	level
Information	12	0.6			
Finance, insurance, real estate, and rental and			POVERTY STATUS IN 1999		
leasing	54	2.9	Families	165	13.8
Professional, scientific, management, adminis-			With related children under 18 years	153	25.7
trative, and waste management services	121	6.4	With related children under 5 years	76	28.6
Educational, health and social services	300	15.9	vvitil related criticien under 5 years	10	20.0
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation			Families with female householder, no		
and food services	103	5.4	husband present	91	33.6
Other services (except public administration)	88	4.7	With related children under 18 years	91	44.2
Public administration	49	2.6	With related children under 5 years	52	62.7
			· .		
CLASS OF WORKER			Individuals	853	20.2
Private wage and salary workers	1,573	83.2		549	17.4
Government workers	239	12.6	1 /	96	17.7
Self-employed workers in own not incorporated			Related children under 18 years	304	28.4
business	72	3.8	Related children 5 to 17 years	217	27.7
Unpaid family workers	7	0.4	,	288	40.7

⁻Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

¹If the denominator of a mean value or per capita value is less than 30, then that value is calculated using a rounded aggregate in the numerator. See text.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census 2000.

Table DP-4. Profile of Selected Housing Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Clearwater CDP, South Carolina

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
Total housing units	1,938	100.0	OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
UNITS IN STRUCTURE			Occupied housing units	1,704	100.0
1-unit, detached	1,025	52.9	1.00 or less	1,615	94.8
1-unit, attached	39	2.0	1.01 to 1.50	83	4.9
2 units	23	1.2	1.51 or more	6	0.4
3 or 4 units	39	2.0			
5 to 9 units	98	5.1	Specified owner-occupied units	788	100.0
10 to 19 units	17		VALUE		
20 or more units	5		Less than \$50,000	140	17.8
Mobile home	692	35.7	\$50,000 to \$99,999	505	64.1
Boat, RV, van, etc	-	_	\$100,000 to \$149,999.	128	16.2
Boat, Itv, van, oto:			\$150,000 to \$199,999.	10	1.3
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT			\$200,000 to \$299,999	-	1.0
1999 to March 2000	33	17	\$300,000 to \$499,999.		
1995 to 1998	107		\$500,000 to \$999,999.	5	0.6
1990 to 1994	190		\$1,000,000 or more	5	0.0
				92.000	(V)
1980 to 1989	373		Median (dollars)	82,000	(X)
1970 to 1979	426	22.0			
1960 to 1969	411	21.2			
1940 to 1959	329	17.0	MONTHLY OWNER COSTS	400	50.0
1939 or earlier	69	3.6	With a mortgage	463	58.8
			Less than \$300		
ROOMS			\$300 to \$499	46	5.8
1 room	13	0.7	\$500 to \$699	125	15.9
2 rooms	12	0.6		184	23.4
3 rooms	127	6.6		90	11.4
4 rooms	585	30.2	\$1,500 to \$1,999	18	2.3
5 rooms	473	24.4	\$2,000 or more	-	-
6 rooms	371	19.1	Median (dollars)	775	(X)
7 rooms	189	9.8	Not mortgaged	325	41.2
8 rooms	125	6.4	Median (dollars)	221	(X)
9 or more rooms	43	2.2	, ,		` ´
Median (rooms)	5.0	(X)	SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD		
Occupied housing units	1,704	100.0			
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT	.,	10010	Less than 15.0 percent	355	45.1
1999 to March 2000	358	21.0	15.0 to 19.9 percent	129	16.4
1995 to 1998	402		20.0 to 24.9 percent	123	15.6
1990 to 1994	251		25.0 to 29.9 percent	40	5.1
1980 to 1989	294		30.0 to 34.9 percent	24	3.0
1970 to 1979	148		35.0 percent or more	117	14.8
1969 or earlier	251		Not computed		14.0
1909 01 earlier	231	14.7	Not computed	_	_
VEHICLES AVAILABLE			Specified renter-occupied units	577	100.0
None	131	77	GROSS RENT	0	100.0
	547	32.1	Less than \$200	45	7.8
1	700	/11 1	\$200 to \$299	33	5.7
3 or more	326		\$300 to \$499	322	55.8
3 of filore	320	19.1	\$500 to \$749	115	19.9
HOUSE HEATING FILE			\$750 to \$999	6	1.0
HOUSE HEATING FUEL	4.000	040		O	1.0
Utility gas	1,096		\$1,000 to \$1,499	-	-
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	29		\$1,500 or more	-	- 0.7
Electricity	561	32.9	No cash rent	56	9.7
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc	-	-	Median (dollars)	424	(X)
Coal or coke	-				
Wood	11	0.6	GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF		
Solar energy	-	-	HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN 1999		
Other fuel	-	-	Less than 15.0 percent	131	22.7
No fuel used	7	0.4	15.0 to 19.9 percent	81	14.0
			20.0 to 24.9 percent	83	14.4
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS			25.0 to 29.9 percent	51	8.8
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	6		30.0 to 34.9 percent	21	3.6
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	10		35.0 percent or more	143	24.8
No telephone service	139	8.2	Not computed	67	11.6
·			1		

⁻Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census 2000.

Table DP-1. Profile of General Demographic Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Aiken County, South Carolina

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
Total population	142,552	100.0	HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE		
			Total population	142,552	100.0
SEX AND AGE			Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	3,025	2.1
Male	68,667	48.2	Mexican	1,575	1.1
Female	73,885	51.8	Puerto Rican	403	0.3
Under 5 years	9,484	6.7	Cuban	87	0.1
5 to 9 years	10,660	7.5	Other Hispanic or Latino	960	0.7
10 to 14 years	10,750	7.5	Not Hispanic or Latino	139,527	97.9
15 to 19 years	10,311	7.2	White alone	100,329	70.4
20 to 24 years	8,621	6.0	RELATIONSHIP		
25 to 34 years	18,243	12.8		142,552	100.0
35 to 44 years	22.937	16.1	Total population	,	
45 to 54 years	19,914	14.0	In households	140,479	98.5 39.0
55 to 59 years	7,520	5.3	Householder	55,587	
60 to 64 years	5,825	4.1	Spouse	29,625	20.8
65 to 74 years	10,344	7.3	Child.	42,828	30.0
75 to 84 years	6,161	4.3	Own child under 18 years	33,065	23.2
85 years and over	1,782	1.3	Other relatives	7,402	5.2
oo years and over	-		Under 18 years	3,544	2.5
Median age (years)	36.4	(X)	Nonrelatives	5,037	3.5
10 years and over	105 204	72.0	Unmarried partner	2,305	1.6
18 years and over	105,204	73.8	In group quarters	2,073	1.5
Male	49,608	34.8	Institutionalized population	1,297	0.9
Female	55,596	39.0	Noninstitutionalized population	776	0.5
21 years and over	99,461	69.8	l		
62 years and over	21,691	15.2			
65 years and over	18,287	12.8	Total households	55,587	100.0
Male	7,567	5.3	Family households (families)	39,434	70.9
Female	10,720	7.5	With own children under 18 years	18,424	33.1
			Married-couple family	29,625	53.3
RACE			With own children under 18 years	12,742	22.9
One race	140,875	98.8	Female householder, no husband present	7,658	13.8
White	101,745	71.4	With own children under 18 years	4,583	8.2
Black or African American	36,442	25.6		16,153	29.1
American Indian and Alaska Native	566	0.4	Householder living alone	13,983	25.2
Asian	905	0.6	Householder 65 years and over	5,139	9.2
Asian Indian	211	0.1			
Chinese	173	0.1	Households with individuals under 18 years	20,557	37.0
Filipino	132	0.1	Households with individuals 65 years and over	12,994	23.4
Japanese	100	0.1	Average household size	2.53	(X)
Korean	89	0.1	Average family size	3.03	(X)
Vietnamese	128	0.1	7.vorage rammy size	0.00	(//)
Other Asian ¹	72	0.1	HOUSING OCCUPANCY		
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	36	-	Total housing units	61,987	100.0
Native Hawaiian	10	-	Occupied housing units	55,587	89.7
Guamanian or Chamorro	10	-	Vacant housing units	6,400	10.3
Samoan	6	-		0,400	10.3
Other Pacific Islander ²	10	-	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	494	Λ 0
Some other race	1,181	0.8	occasional use	494	0.8
Two or more races	1,677	1.2	Homeowner vacancy rate (percent)	2.5	(X)
	.,		Rental vacancy rate (percent)	12.1	(X)
Race alone or in combination with one			(2.33.1)		
or more other races: 3	400 404	70.0	HOUSING TENURE		
White	103,104	72.3	Occupied housing units	55,587	100.0
Black or African American	37,084	26.0	Owner-occupied housing units	42,036	75.6
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,274	0.9	Renter-occupied housing units	13,551	24.4
Asian	1,211	0.8			
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	109	0.1	Average household size of owner-occupied units.	2.59	(X)
Some other race	1,601	1.1	Average household size of renter-occupied units.	2.34	(X)

⁻ Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000.

² Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

³ In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The six numbers may add to more than the total population and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

Table DP-2. Profile of Selected Social Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Aiken County, South Carolina

Graduate or professional degree 6,372 6.9 Percent high school graduate or higher 77.7 (X) Percent bachelor's degree or higher 19.9 (X) MARITAL STATUS Population 15 years and over 111,587 100.0 Never married 26,138 23.4 Now married, except separated 62,364 55.9 Separated 3,832 3.4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 6.0 Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 13.1 Oceania Latin America 1. AXI Northern America 1. LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME Population 5 years and over 133,1 English only 1. Language other than English 5,7 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Spanish 3,4 Speak English less than "very well" 1,5 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 5 ANCESTRY (single or multiple)	97.7 97.0 43.5 66 53.4 9 0.7 22 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 1 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 6 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Nursery school, preschool 2,514 6.6 8 8 8 100.0 8 8 100.0 8 100.0 8 100.0 8 100.0 10	97.7 97.0 43.5 66 53.4 9 0.7 22 2.3 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Nursery school, preschool 2,514 6.6 8 8 8 100.0 8 8 100.0 8 100.0 8 100.0 8 100.0 10	97.0 43.5 66 53.4 9 0.7 2 2.3 2 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 21.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Nursery school, preschool 2,514 6.6 Born in United States 138,2	43.5 53.4 9 0.7 2 2.3 1.0 1 1.3 1 1.3 1 1.3 1 2.8 1 1.3 1 48.6 3 3.8 1 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Elementary school (grades 9-12)	53.4 9 0.7 2 2.3 2 1.0 1 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Elementary school (grades 9-12)	9 0.7 22 1.0 1 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 0 21.8 0 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Born outside United States 1,0	2 2.3 1.0 1 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
College or graduate school 6,691 17.7 Foreign born 3,2 EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT Population 25 years and over 92,922 100.0 Naturalized citizen 1,4 Not a citizen 1,8 Staturalized citizen 1,4 Staturalized citizen 1,	2 2.3 1.0 1 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Entered 1990 to March 2000	2 1.0 1 1.3 2 100.0 0 21.8 0 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Naturalized citizen 1,4	1 1.0 1.3 1.3 1.0 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3
Region of Birth of Foreign Born 13,479 14.5	2 100.0 21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Caracter	2 100.0 21.8 0 22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 1 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
13,479	21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1.3 1.3 48.6 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	21.8 22.8 7 1.8 1.3 1.3 48.6 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6
Some college, no degree. 18,248 19.6 Associate degree. 5,930 6.4 Asia 7.4 Asia 7.4 Asia 7.4 Asia 7.4 Africa 7	22.8 7 1.8 1 1.3 1 48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 3 4.3 6 1.6
Associate degree	1.8 1.3 1.3 48.6 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 3.4.3 6.1.6
Graduate or professional degree 6,372 6.9 Percent high school graduate or higher 77.7 (X) Percent bachelor's degree or higher 19.9 (X) MARITAL STATUS Population 15 years and over 111,587 100.0 Never married 26,138 23.4 Now married, except separated 62,364 55.9 Separated 3,832 3.4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 6.0 Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 15.7 Oceania Latin America 1. LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME Population 5 years and over 133,1 Eaglish only 127,3 Language other than English 5,7 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Spanish 3,4 Speak English less than "very well" 1,5 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Tetal population 5 ANCESTRY (single or multiple)	1 1.3 48.6 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 4.3 6 1.6
Percent high school graduate or higher 77.7 (X) Percent bachelor's degree or higher 19.9 (X) MARITAL STATUS Population 15 years and over 111,587 (A) Now married 26,138 (A) Now married, except separated 62,364 (A) Separated 38,832 (A) Widowed 8,286 (A) Female 6,720 (A) Divorced 10,967 (B) Female 6,364 (B) Female 7,44 (B) Female 6,364 (B) Female 7,4 (B) Female 6,364 (B) Female 7,4 (B) Female 7,4 (B) Female 8,364 (B) Female 8,364 (B) Female 9,364 (B) Female 1,55	48.6 3 3.8 9 100.0 95.7 3 4.3 6 1.6
Percent high school graduate or higher 77.7 Percent bachelor's degree or higher 19.9 (X) MARITAL STATUS Population 15 years and over 111,587 Population 15 years and over 26,138 Now married, except separated 52,364 Separated 3,832 3.4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 Divorced 10,967 Pemale 6,364 Sepandparent living in household with 15,57	3 3.8 9 100.0 6 95.7 3 4.3 6 1.6
Percent bachelor's degree or higher 19.9 (X) MARITAL STATUS Population 15 years and over 111,587 100.0 Never married 26,138 23.4 Now married, except separated 62,364 55.9 Separated 3,832 3.4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 6.0 Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 19.9 (X) LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME Population 5 years and over 133,1 English only 127,3 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Spanish 5,7 Speak English less than "very well" 1,5 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Speak English less than "very well" 3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 127,3 LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME Population 5 years and over 133,1 English only 127,3 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Spanish 5,7 Speak English less than "very well" 3,3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 5	9 100.0 6 95.7 3 4.3 6 1.6
MARITAL STATUS	6 95.7 3 4.3 6 1.6
MARITAL STATUS Population 15 years and over 111,587 100.0 English only 127,3 Never married 26,138 23.4 Separated 55.9 Separated 55.9 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Separated 3,832 3.4 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Spanish Speak English less than "very well" 1,5 Speak English less than "very well" 1,4 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Speak English less than "very well" 3,8 Speak English less than "very well" 1,4 Speak English less than "very well" 3,4 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2	6 95.7 3 4.3 6 1.6
Population 15 years and over 111,587 100.0 English only 127,3 Never married 26,138 23.4 Language other than English 5,7 Now married, except separated 62,364 55.9 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Separated 3,832 3.4 Spanish 3,4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Spanish less than "very well" 1,5 Female 6,720 6.0 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Other Indo-European languages 5,7 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) 2	6 95.7 3 4.3 6 1.6
Never married 26,138 23.4 Language other than English 5,7 Now married, except separated 62,364 55.9 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Separated 3,832 3.4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 6.0 Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 5,7 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 142.5	3 4.3 6 1.6
Now married, except separated 62,364 55.9 Speak English less than "very well" 2,1 Separated 3,832 3.4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 6.0 Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 23,832 3.4 Speak English less than "very well" 1,5 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Speak English less than "very well" 3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 142.5	6 1.6
Separated 3,832 3.4 Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 6.0 Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 3,832 3.4 Spanish 3,4 Speak English less than "very well" 1,5 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Speak English less than "very well" 3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 142.5	-
Widowed 8,286 7.4 Female 6,720 6.0 Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 5,286 7.4 Speak English less than "very well" 1,5 Other Indo-European languages 1,4 Speak English less than "very well" 3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 142.5	
Female	I
Divorced 10,967 9.8 Female 6,364 5.7 GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with 9.8 Speak English less than "very well" 3 Asian and Pacific Island languages 6 Speak English less than "very well" 2 ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population 142.5	
Female	I
Speak English less than "very well"	-
GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS Grandparent living in household with ANCESTRY (single or multiple) Total population	I
Grandparent living in household with ANCESTRY (single or multiple)	7 0.2
Grandparent living in nodseriou with Total population 142.5	
and or more own grandshildren under	2 100.0
one or more own grandchildren under 18 years	5 88.6
Grandparent reconneible for grandchildren 1.730 54.4 Arab	0.1
Grandparent responsible for grandchildren 1,729 34.4 Czech ¹	3 0.1
VETERAN STATUS Danish	2 0.1
Civilian population 18 years and ever 105 067 100 0 Dutch	3 1.0
Civilian veterans 15.613 14.9 English	8 9.4
2,6 French (except Basque) 2,6	3 1.9
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN French Canadian ¹	2 0.4
NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION German	4 9.0
Population 5 to 20 years	0.1
With a disability 3 126 94 Hungarian	
Partiletian 24 to C4 years 20 C04 400 0 IIISII	1 8.8
Population 21 to 64 years	
	0 -
Percent employed	1 0.3
No disability	3 0.9
Percent employed	4 0.1
Population 65 years and over	
With a disability 8,005 44.8 Scotch-Irish 3,2	2 2.3
Scottish	
RESIDENCE IN 1995 Slovak	I
Population 5 years and over 133,139 100.0 Subsaharan African	
Same house in 1995	I
Different house in the U.S. in 1995 52,174 39.2 Swiss 2	9 0.2
,	2 -
Different county	2 16.5
Same state 5,716 4.3 Welsh 7	9 0.5
Different state	6 0.1
Elsewhere in 1995	1 30.7

⁻Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

¹The data represent a combination of two ancestries shown separately in Summary File 3. Czech includes Czechoslovakian. French includes Alsatian. French Canadian includes Acadian/Cajun. Irish includes Celtic.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census 2000.

Table DP-3. Profile of Selected Economic Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Aiken County, South Carolina

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS			INCOME IN 1999		
Population 16 years and over	109,551	100.0	Households	55,590	100.0
In labor force	67,969	62.0	Less than \$10,000	6,357	11.4
Civilian labor force	67,734	61.8	\$10,000 to \$14,999	3,904	7.0
Employed	63,756		\$15,000 to \$24,999	8,087	14.5
Unemployed	3,978	3.6	\$25,000 to \$34,999	7,331	13.2
Percent of civilian labor force	5.9		\$35,000 to \$49,999	9,479	17.1
Armed Forces	235		\$50,000 to \$74,999	10,313	18.6
Not in labor force	41,582		\$75,000 to \$99,999	5,350	9.6
Fameles 46 years and ever			\$100,000 to \$149,999	3,412	6.1
Females 16 years and over	57,932	100.0	\$150,000 to \$199,999	747	1.3
In labor force	31,919	55.1	\$200,000 or more	610	1.1
Civilian labor force	31,875	55.0	Median household income (dollars)	37,889	(X)
Employed	29,877	51.6	, ,	•	, ,
Own children under 6 years	10,500	100.0	With earnings	43,404	78.1
All parents in family in labor force	6,420	61.1	Mean earnings (dollars) ¹	48,847	(X)
			With Social Security income	15,393	27.7
COMMUTING TO WORK			Mean Social Security income (dollars) ¹	11,448	(X)
Workers 16 years and over	62,802	100.0	With Supplemental Security Income	2,149	3.9
Car, truck, or van drove alone	51,240	81.6	Mean Supplemental Security Income		
Car, truck, or van carpooled	8,738	13.9	(dollars) ¹	5,730	(X)
Public transportation (including taxicab)	164	0.3		1,585	2.9
Walked	915	1.5	Mean public assistance income (dollars) ¹	2,338	(X)
Other means	634	1.0	With retirement income	10,469	18.8
Worked at home	1,111	1.8	Mean retirement income (dollars) ¹	17,485	(X)
Mean travel time to work (minutes) ¹	24.8	(X)	Familias	20 544	400.0
Formation of all officer in an interfere			Families	39,544	100.0
Employed civilian population	CO 750	400.0	Less than \$10,000	2,582	6.5
16 years and over	63,756	100.0	\$10,000 to \$14,999	2,127	5.4
OCCUPATION			\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,984	12.6
Management, professional, and related	10.656	20.0	\$25,000 to \$34,999	4,918	12.4
occupations	19,656	30.6	\$35,000 to \$49,999	7,162	18.1
Service occupations	9,336		\$50,000 to \$74,999	8,614	21.8
Sales and office occupations	14,456		\$75,000 to \$99,999	4,830	12.2
Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	454	0.7	\$100,000 to \$149,999	3,089	7.8
Construction, extraction, and maintenance	7.047	10.4	\$150,000 to \$199,999	694	1.8
occupations Production, transportation, and material moving	7,917	12.4	\$200,000 or more	544	1.4
occupations	11,937	18.7	Median family income (dollars)	45,769	(X)
occupations	11,337	10.7	Per capita income (dollars) ¹	18,772	(X)
INDUSTRY			Median earnings (dollars):	.0,2	(7.)
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting,			Male full-time, year-round workers	36,743	(X)
and mining	1,046	1.6	Female full-time, year-round workers	23,810	(X)
Construction	5,287	8.3	Tomale fall time, your found workers	20,010	(71)
Manufacturing	11,174	17.5		Number	Percent
Wholesale trade	1,401	2.2		below	below
Retail trade	7,146	11.2		poverty	poverty
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	7,140	11.5	Subject	level	level
Information	814	1.3	·		
Finance, insurance, real estate, and rental and	014	1.3			
leasing	2,244	3.5	POVERTY STATUS IN 1999		
0	2,244	3.3	Families	4,175	10.6
Professional, scientific, management, adminis-	E 0E4	7.9	With related children under 18 years	3,320	16.1
	5,054 12,519		With related children under 5 years	1,447	19.2
trative, and waste management services		19.6	Familias with famala haveshalden na		
Educational, health and social services	12,515				
Educational, health and social services Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation		7.0	Families with female householder, no	2 402	24 0
Educational, health and social services Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	4,485	7.0	husband present	2,493	31.9
Educational, health and social services Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	4,485 2,636	4.1	husband present	2,225	39.8
Educational, health and social services Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	4,485		husband present		
Educational, health and social services Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	4,485 2,636	4.1	husband present	2,225 979	39.8 49.8
Educational, health and social services Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services	4,485 2,636 2,616	4.1 4.1	husband present	2,225 979 19,455	39.8 49.8 13.8
Educational, health and social services	4,485 2,636 2,616 48,394	4.1 4.1 75.9	husband present	2,225 979 19,455 12,341	39.8 49.8 13.8 11.9
Educational, health and social services	4,485 2,636 2,616	4.1 4.1	husband present	2,225 979 19,455 12,341 2,237	39.8 49.8 13.8 11.9 12.5
Educational, health and social services	4,485 2,636 2,616 48,394 11,896	4.1 4.1 75.9 18.7	husband present. With related children under 18 years. With related children under 5 years. Individuals. 18 years and over. 65 years and over. Related children under 18 years.	2,225 979 19,455 12,341 2,237 6,908	39.8 49.8 13.8 11.9 12.5 18.9
Educational, health and social services	4,485 2,636 2,616 48,394	4.1 4.1 75.9	husband present. With related children under 18 years. With related children under 5 years. Individuals. 18 years and over. 65 years and over. Related children under 18 years Related children 5 to 17 years.	2,225 979 19,455 12,341 2,237	39.8 49.8 13.8 11.9 12.5

⁻Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

¹If the denominator of a mean value or per capita value is less than 30, then that value is calculated using a rounded aggregate in the numerator. See text.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census 2000.

Table DP-4. Profile of Selected Housing Characteristics: 2000

Geographic area: Aiken County, South Carolina

Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
Total housing units	61,987	100.0	OCCUPANTS PER ROOM		
UNITS IN STRUCTURE	01,007	100.0	Occupied housing units	55,587	100.0
1-unit, detached	39,956	64.5	1.00 or less	54,035	97.2
1-unit, attached	922		1.01 to 1.50	1,135	2.0
2 units	1,203		1.51 or more.	,	0.8
	l '	_	1.51 of filore	417	0.6
3 or 4 units	1,799	2.9	0	00.040	400.0
5 to 9 units	1,780	2.9	Specified owner-occupied units	29,642	100.0
10 to 19 units	400		VALUE		
20 or more units	620	1.0	Less than \$50,000	4,190	14.1
Mobile home	15,139		\$50,000 to \$99,999	14,049	47.4
Boat, RV, van, etc	168	0.3	\$100,000 to \$149,999	6,088	20.5
			\$150,000 to \$199,999	2,884	9.7
YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT			\$200,000 to \$299,999	1,711	5.8
1999 to March 2000	1,745	2.8	\$300,000 to \$499,999	649	2.2
1995 to 1998	5,642	9.1	\$500,000 to \$999,999	49	0.2
1990 to 1994	7,453	12.0	\$1,000,000 or more	22	0.1
1980 to 1989	12,276	19.8	Median (dollars)	87,600	(X)
1970 to 1979	11,541	18.6	, ,	,	()
1960 to 1969	8,223		MORTGAGE STATUS AND SELECTED		
1940 to 1959	11,632	18.8	MONTHLY OWNER COSTS		
1939 or earlier	3,475		With a mortgage	19,399	65.4
1909 of eather	3,473	3.0	Less than \$300	107	0.4
ROOMS			\$300 to \$499	1,658	5.6
	204	0.5	\$500 to \$499	3,953	13.3
1 room	324	0.5	· · · · ·	,	
2 rooms	1,143	1.8	\$700 to \$999	6,331	21.4
3 rooms	3,433	5.5	\$1,000 to \$1,499	5,093	17.2
4 rooms	10,851	17.5	\$1,500 to \$1,999	1,400	4.7
5 rooms	14,379	23.2	\$2,000 or more	857	2.9
6 rooms	13,472	21.7	Median (dollars)	879	(X)
7 rooms	8,732		Not mortgaged	10,243	34.6
8 rooms	5,255	8.5	Median (dollars)	253	(X)
9 or more rooms	4,398	7.1			
Median (rooms)	5.6	(X)	SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD		
Occupied housing units	55,587	100.0			
YEAR HOUSEHOLDER MOVED INTO UNIT	00,001		Less than 15.0 percent	12,538	42.3
1999 to March 2000	10,034	18.1	15.0 to 19.9 percent	5,546	18.7
1995 to 1998	14,572		20.0 to 24.9 percent	3,943	13.3
1990 to 1994	9,424		25.0 to 29.9 percent	2,216	7.5
1980 to 1989	9,148		30.0 to 34.9 percent	1,325	4.5
1970 to 1979	5,764	10.3	35.0 percent or more	3,722	12.6
1969 or earlier	6,645		Not computed	352	1.2
1909 01 earlier	0,045	12.0	Not computed	332	1.2
VEHICLES AVAILABLE			Specified renter-occupied units	13,355	100.0
None	4.077	7.2	I	13,333	100.0
	4,077	7.3	Less than \$200	682	5.1
1	18,280	32.9	\$200 to \$299	1,184	
2	21,856			,	8.9
3 or more	11,374	20.5	\$300 to \$499	4,704	35.2
			\$500 to \$749	4,348	32.6
HOUSE HEATING FUEL			\$750 to \$999	773	5.8
Utility gas	23,368		\$1,000 to \$1,499	108	0.8
Bottled, tank, or LP gas	5,479		\$1,500 or more	100	0.7
Electricity	25,539		No cash rent	1,456	10.9
Fuel oil, kerosene, etc	533	1.0	Median (dollars)	475	(X)
Coal or coke	15	-			
Wood	443	0.8			
Solar energy	6	-	HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN 1999		
Other fuel	69	0.1	Less than 15.0 percent	2,836	21.2
No fuel used	135	0.2	15.0 to 19.9 percent	1,818	13.6
			20.0 to 24.9 percent	1,500	11.2
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS			25.0 to 29.9 percent	1,106	8.3
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	234	0.4	30.0 to 34.9 percent	768	5.8
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	322	0.6	35.0 percent or more	3,593	26.9
No telephone service	2,827		Not computed	1,734	13.0
»p	_,,		' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	,	

⁻Represents zero or rounds to zero. (X) Not applicable.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census 2000.